

# KENDAL TOWN COUNCIL

Notice of Meeting

## PLANNING COMMITTEE

Tuesday, 6<sup>th</sup> April 2021 at 6.30pm  
(Via Zoom)

### Committee Membership (8 Members)

Douglas Rathbone (Chair & Deputy Mayor)	Jonathan Cornthwaite (Vice Chair)	Dave Miles
Michele Miles	Pat Gibson	Helen Ladhams
Chris Rowley	Graham Vincent	

## AGENDA

- 1. APOLOGIES**  
To receive and accept any apologies from members.
- 2. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**  
Any member of the public who wishes to ask a question, make representations or present a deputation or petition at this meeting should apply to do so before the commencement of the meeting. Information on how to make the application is available on the Council's Website <http://www.kendaltowncouncil.gov.uk/kendal-town-council/statutory-information/guidance-on-public-participation-at-kendal-town-council-meetings/>. The public are not permitted to speak in other parts of the meeting unless allowed to do so by the chair. Please follow the specific guidelines for Zoom meetings distributed separately.
- 3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**  
To receive declarations by Members and/or co-optees of interests in respect of items on this Agenda  
  
In accordance with the revised Code of Conduct, Members are required to declare any Disclosable Pecuniary Interests (DPIs) or Other Registrable interests (ORIs) which have not already been declared in the Council's Register of Interests. Members are reminded that it is a criminal offence not to declare a DPI, either in the Register or at the meeting. In the interests of clarity and transparency, Members may wish to declare any DPI which they have already declared in the Register, as well as any ORI.
- 4. MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON 15<sup>th</sup> MARCH 2021** *(see attached)*  
To receive the minutes from the meeting on 15<sup>th</sup> March 2021 and affirm them as a true record *(see attached)*.
- 5. MATTERS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES, NOT ON AGENDA**
- 6. KENDAL TOWN COUNCIL FLOOD RELIEF SCHEME WORKING GROUP**  
To receive an update from the Working Group and minutes of the last meeting held 17<sup>th</sup> March 2021 *(see attached)*.

## KENDAL TOWN COUNCIL

### 7. **PLANNING APPLICATIONS** *(see attached schedule)*

To consider the following planning applications received from South Lakeland District Council and Cumbria County Council. The committee will consider planning applications received and published by local planning authorities up to the date of the meeting. Where the publication of the application is after the initial publication of the agenda, items will be added up to the day of the meeting where necessary to meet planning consultation timeframes. A full list of applications likely to be considered can be obtained by checking the local planning authority websites:

<https://applications.southlakeland.gov.uk/fastweb/welcome.asp>  
<https://planning.cumbria.gov.uk/Search/Results>

**Chris Bagshaw**  
**Town Clerk**

By e-mail/post to:       Members of the Committee  
                                  All other Councillors (agenda only, for information)

***Please note that only Committee Members will receive the minutes and attachments, but that other Councillors may request a copy of any agenda papers. Any Councillor who is not a Committee Member may still attend the meeting, as an observer.***

---

**Members of the Press and Public are welcome to attend the meeting via teleconferencing or correspondence by arrangement with the Chair, Cllr Doug Rathbone, at [rathers@live.co.uk](mailto:rathers@live.co.uk) or 07505503965.**

# KENDAL TOWN COUNCIL

## Planning Committee

**Monday 15<sup>th</sup> March 2020 at 6.00 p.m.  
(Via Zoom)**

**PRESENT** Councillors Douglas Rathbone (Chair & Deputy Mayor), Jonathan Cornthwaite (Vice Chair), Dave Miles, Michele Miles, Helen Ladhams, Chris Rowley and Graham Vincent

Also in attendance:  
Richard Alker – resident of Kendal Parks Road  
Georgina – resident of Kendal Parks Road  
Councillor Hennessy  
Councillor Evans

**APOLOGIES** Councillor Pat Gibson

**OFFICERS** Chris Bagshaw (Town Clerk) and Nicky King (Council Secretary)

**671/20/21 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

(1) Richard Alker – resident of Kendal Parks Road.  
Re: Application Ref: SL/2021/0188 – 63 Kendal Parks Road

Richard Alker wished to voice an objection against this application. The main points of concern were:

- In order to maintain a nice development all property owners signed restricted covenants. A letter from Story Homes states the proposed garage does not meet these conditions.
- A number of residents feel very strongly about the application and have submitted objections in writing on the SLDC portal.
- The estate design provides off-road parking for 2-3 vehicles per property. If the garage is approved, there would only be room for one small car on the driveway which will inevitably lead to more parking on the road (there would also be insufficient room left to open the garage door). This will lead to the pavement being obstructed, potentially causing safety issues for pushchairs, prams and wheelchair users. There are many families with children living on the development and more cars parked on the roadside would be a safety concern.
- Residents opposite have driveways facing the property and have difficulty accessing their driveways when vehicles are parked on the roadside opposite.

Material objections were highlighted as follows:

- To build the garage requires access to Richard Alker's property. Under the Access to Neighbouring Land Act 1992 he would be withholding consent to access his property to apply render. Doing so would therefore be trespassing.
- The garage changes the symmetrical shape of the semi-detached houses and other buildings on the development.

Story Homes' original design allowed for a gap between properties allowing good visibility to the surrounding hills. The Minimum gap between any two properties is approximately 2m. Building the proposed garage would reduce this gap to approximately 1m.

Richard Alker expressed his view that approval of the proposal would be a detriment to many residents and requested that the application be rejected.

(2) Georgina – resident of Kendal Parks Road.  
Re: Application Ref: SL/2021/0188 – 63 Kendal Parks Road

Georgina raised the following main areas of concern:

- **Appearance and design of the development.** All the properties on the development are designed to be symmetrical and aesthetically pleasing. No properties were designed with garages. The proposed garage, if approved, would mean the loss of the aesthetically pleasing design.
- **Layout and density of buildings.** The development was designed to allow for an open aspect between properties. Building the proposed garage would not be in line with the wider development design.
- **Scale and dominance.** The proposed structure is disproportionately large, with the apex being about 60% of the existing gable end. This would result in a dominating addition to the property and the look of the development.
- **Parking and safety.** Parking is a major issue at the property which can fit 2 small vehicles on the driveway. As the owners have 2 cars and a van, one has to be parked on the roadside permanently. This already causes significant disruption and provision of a garage will make matters worse. More vehicles parked on the road will cause an obstruction and safety risk for children, pedestrians etc.
- **Restrictive covenant.** The conditions of the covenant in place have not been complied with.

The Chair advised that residents' comments have not been uploaded to the SLDC website as of yet due to the volume of comments received for this application and a further application.

(3) Councillor Hennessy  
Re: Application Ref: SL/2021/0175 – Duke of Cumberland Inn

Councillor Hennessy stated that numerous inherent issues remain with the resubmitted application. He was disappointed that the applicant had failed to take note of previous opposition to the proposals and listed reasons for objection as follows:

- The logic for building a new layby for the purpose of deliveries more or less opposite the already overwhelmed junction of Sandylands and Appleby Roads is beyond comprehension. It directly contravenes DM1 of SLDC Development Management Policy which requires an adequate and safe view for pedestrians

and vehicles is ensured. This proposal will essentially cut the pavement area by half restricting the movement of pedestrians etc. In addition the ability of large vehicles to safely negotiate exit and entry of this layby is questionable. The sight lines of traffic and pedestrians will be severely impaired by the presence of 10m long high sided vehicles in the area. Traffic flow will be negatively affected by vehicles pulling in and out of this layby.

- There will be reduced air quality in an area which already has some of the highest levels of pollution in the town.
- Safety of pedestrians and road users must be paramount and this proposal, which will lead to an increase of traffic and pedestrians, does nothing to address previous concerns.
- The proposal would fail to help retain existing community facilities such as local shops and fail to help the economy. Its presence would seriously impact other businesses who currently employ 46 people.
- It fails on biodiversity as hedging and existing scrub are proposed to be removed.
- Noise support is inadmissible.
- There is no provision for waste.

(4) Councillor Evans

Re: Application Ref: SL/2021/0175 – Duke of Cumberland Inn

Councillor Evans expressed her view that the resubmitted application presented even more concerns than the original. She stated that there are many reasons why the application should be rejected, including the negative effects on local residents and businesses and the increased risk of flooding. In particular she wished to highlight the issue of highways and the following points:

- The statements in 7.6 and 7.12 are contradicted in the application.
- The moving of the access further south makes these proposals even more dangerous. An already dangerous junction will become even more hazardous with the potential for more accidents.
- The proposed new pedestrian crossing is in a very dangerous position with no line of sight for traffic approaching from the right.
- She would like to challenge the developers to produce a detailed map of where the parking spaces that they list in the area actually are. From April 1<sup>st</sup> all parking in the Shap Road area will be residents' permits only. This will mean very little capacity for overflow parking.
- Many statements in the application have no basis in reality and will only increase the dangers for residents.

672/20/21

**DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Councillor Cornthwaite declared an interest in application SL/2021/0120 (8 Rosemede Avenue). The applicant is known to him.

01.03.2021

**673/20/21 MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON 1<sup>ST</sup> MARCH 2021**

Members considered the minutes of the Committee meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> March 2021.

Councillor Cornthwaite proposed that the minutes be accepted as a correct record. This was seconded by Rowley and carried unanimously.

**RESOLVED** That the minutes of the meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> March 2021 be accepted as a correct record, and signed by the Chair.

**674/20/21 KENDAL TOWN COUNCIL FLOOD RELIEF SCHEME WORKING GROUP**

The next meeting is scheduled to be held Wednesday 17<sup>th</sup> March 2021 at 7pm.

**675/20/21 DIVERSION OF PUBLIC FOOTPATHS AT LAND NORTH OF LAUREL GARDENS**

The Town Clerk advised that KTC get to see all proposed orders of footpath diversions. This particular order is part of the footpath diversion necessary to build in the fields beyond Laurel Gardens.

Committee noted the Order for diversion of footpath numbers 536008 and 536009.

The Chair wished to reiterate Committee's interest in all similar orders. The Town Clerk advised that it would be unlawful for SLDC to divert a footpath without notifying KTC as a statutory consultee.

**RESOLVED** That Committee note the Order for diversion of footpath numbers 536008 and 536009.

**676/20/21 PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

Members considered Planning Applications submitted for consultation purposes by the local planning authority, South Lakeland District Council.

**RESOLVED** That having considered the applications outlined in the schedule, the recommendations in Appendix I attached to these minutes be made to South Lakeland District Council.

Note:

Councillor Vincent wished to register his non-compliance with the discussion held in respect of The Duke of Cumberland. He talked about Kendal Futures Strategy and their employment of a specialist transport consultant to resolve issues of heavy traffic and pedestrian safety in the area. In his view Committee are making decisions on changes that could be fairly imminent and he feels the wider picture should be looked at.

The meeting ended at 7.20pm

Signed .....

Dated .....

No.	App No./ Type	Address/ Proposed Development	Comments To SLDC	Observations/ Recommendations
1	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0028</a>	<b>274 Valley Drive, KENDAL</b> Erection of fence to road facing boundary wall, total height of 1800mm (Retrospective)	17.03.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b> Committee were disappointed to note the retrospective nature of this application.
2	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0120</a>	<b>8 Rosemede Avenue, KENDAL</b> Single storey side extension	15.03.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b> Committee noted that 12 weeks' notice is required if scaffolding is to be erected on the footpath.
3	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0125</a>	<b>22 Derwent Drive, KENDAL</b> Single storey side extension & alterations to front porch	17.03.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b>
4	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0135</a>	<b>24 Heron Hill, KENDAL</b> Single storey side extension	17.03.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b>
5	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0138</a>	<b>49 - 51 Low Fellside, KENDAL</b> Re-roof, chimney repairs, rebuilding of car park retaining wall & removal of Silver Birch	18.03.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b> Committee suggest Officers look into the possibility of using cast iron for downpipes and guttering.
6	Advertisement <a href="#">SL/2021/0153</a>	<b>2A Elephant Yard, KENDAL</b> 1 internally illuminated fascia sign, 2 non-illuminated fascia signs & 1 internally illuminated projecting sign	22.03.2021	<b>Material Objections</b> Whilst Committee welcome the business itself with no objections regarding the internal changes, it raised objections relating to the following:
7	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0155</a>	<b>2A Elephant Yard, KENDAL</b> Internal refurbishment of existing retail unit for use as a cafe including the formation of an external seating area.	22.03.2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is no requirement for internally illuminated signs on this site.</li> <li>• The external colour scheme, window motifs, 3D cup and screening to the seating area is not at all in keeping with the character, design and feel of Elephant Yard, or Kendal's Conservation Area as a whole.</li> </ul>

01/03/2021

Planning  
Appendix 1

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The width of outdoor seating restricts the movement of pedestrians and an adaptation of that application to enable free flow of shoppers to other retail units is not possible within the overall design of the walkway.</li> </ul>
8	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0154</a>	<b>70 Rusland Park, KENDAL</b> Single storey front & rear extensions	23.03.2021	<p><b>No Material Objections</b></p> <p>We would wish conditions to ensure the sufficiency and permanence of any biodiversity gain.</p>
9	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0172</a>	<b>5 Curson Rise, KENDAL</b> Two storey side extension with single storey side extension attached and a detached single garage	24.03.2021	<p><b>Material Objections</b></p> <p>Clarification required as to whether the garage creates a new exit onto a separate entrance. If so a report from Highways would be required.</p> <p>There appears to be no net biodiversity gain.</p>
10	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0176</a>	<b>7 Peat Lane, KENDAL</b> Two storey rear extension	25.03.2021	<p><b>No Material Objection</b> however Committee noted the extension is large for its plot. Any decision should ensure there is no overlooking issue on Langdale Crescent.</p> <p>Although the neighbours have not objected to the proposal, Committee were concerned to ensure that they are suitably aware of, and happy with, the resultant loss of light.</p>
11	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0175</a>	<b>Duke of Cumberland Inn, 1 Appleby Road, KENDAL</b> Erection of convenience store, retention of public house with redesigned beer garden, reconfigured car park including retained vehicular access from Shap Road and relocated vehicular access from Appleby Road, introduction of a service layby on Appleby Road and provision of a	25.03.2021	<p><b>Material Objections</b></p> <p>Committee stand by the comments it made previously as material objections to the proposal; previously in response to application SL/2020/0431 for the same premises. They wish to repeat that those material objections raised on 3rd August 2020 have not been adequately addressed in this application and are therefore re-</p>

		<p>pedestrian crossing on Appleby Road (Resubmission of SL/2020/0431)</p>	<p>stated here. They also draw Officers' attention to concerns raised by Councillors Evans and Hennessy, as submitted via the SLDC portal and presented to Committee this evening (below). They would further draw attention to the over 250 residents' comments submitted.</p> <p>Main concerns discussed included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safety of school children - not mentioned by the applicant</li> <li>• Highways issues – not sufficiently addressed These are especially regarding the safety of proposed lay-by contravening proper sight lines for pedestrians or motorists while turning; lack of safe entry or exit to premises; traffic flow figures that are not realistic and despite projections given, trip generation will be large; danger of standing and turning lorries whilst delivering; problems that exist on an already congested and complicated junction.</li> <li>• There is a potential 'cut through' created for vehicles between Shap Road and Appleby Road through the carpark. This had previously been blocked off precisely because of the frequent and dangerous use that had been made of it.</li> <li>• Detrimental effect on air quality for the area and for children on a busy route to and from school, caused by increased traffic flow and idling</li> <li>• First priority for under-utilised land should be housing as in planning guidelines.</li> <li>• Proposal fails to help retain community facilities such as local shops.</li> </ul>
--	--	---	---

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The application states availability of the car park, which currently has a beer garden situated on it.</li> <li>• The Design and Access Statement quotes core strategies which are actually detrimental to the application, eg pp17, 118 &amp; 124.</li> <li>• New development should, under guidelines, be acceptable to local communities, which this is not – see volume and content of the neighbour responses.</li> <li>• There is no sufficient plan for increased biodiversity on the site and a subsequent inclusion of a bird box will not be sufficient.</li> <li>• The committee did not deem the increased risk of flooding had been accurately addressed and await comments from the Lead Flood Authority.</li> </ul>
12	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0194</a>	<b>65 Rinkfield, KENDAL</b> Glazed balcony over existing single storey extension	26.03.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b> provided there is no issue of overlooking.
13	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0188</a>	<b>63 Kendal Parks Road, KENDAL</b> Single storey side garage & rear conservatory	25.03.2021	<p><b>Material Objections</b></p> <p>Committee have no objections to the proposal for a conservatory provided that residents of Hawthorn Way - with gardens that back on to it - have had the opportunity to comment on any potential loss of privacy given the slope of the site.</p> <p>However the Committee wishes to underline objections raised by residents present at the meeting and the additional residents' comments submitted on the SLDC portal. These objections are based on concerns regarding scale and dominance, overdevelopment of a newly</p>

				<p>designed and approved site, adherence with restrictive covenants from Story Homes, sightlines/visual amenity of the development, and highway safety as mentioned. Committee view these issues as material objections.</p> <p>In addition to these was the fact that necessary access to facilitate building will not be given by the neighbouring residence.</p> <p>The Chair has advised the Planning Officer that one of the neighbour's' comments to the proposal has been wrongly posted under SL/2021/0175 (processed 17/3/21), is therefore missing from comments here, and should be re-applied to this application.</p>
14	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0204</a>	<b>23 Burton Road, KENDAL</b> Front porch extension	29.03.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b>
15	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0203</a>	<b>Formally Playmates Pre School Nursery, Captain French Lane, KENDAL</b> Installation of solar panels to south facing roofs of Units 2 and 5	30.03.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b> Committee would welcome a swift box as per the Kendal Swifts submission.
16	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0179</a>	<b>25 Aldercroft, KENDAL</b> First floor extension over existing garage	31.03.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b> Committee would welcome a swift box as per the Kendal Swifts submission.
17	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0186</a>	<b>68 Lingmoor Rise, KENDAL</b> Erection of two-bed end of terrace house (Resubmission of SL/2020/0491)	05.04.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b>
18	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0146</a>	<b>11 Nether Street, KENDAL</b> Replacement UPVC windows and front door and installation of French patio doors to the rear.	05.04.2021	<b>No Material Objections</b> On a design level Committee approve the change to sash windows, subject to the Conservation Area Officer confirming this is not prejudicial to the visual amenity of the Conversation Area.

01/03/2021

Planning  
Appendix 1

				Committee have raised the issue of like for like double glazing many times. This policy requires to be rewritten.
--	--	--	--	---

## Kendal Flood Relief Scheme Working Group (KTCFRSWG) Notes 17.03.21, Zoom meeting

Attendees: Cllr Jonathan Cornthwaite (JC) KTC, Cllr Jonathan Brook (JB) KTC SLDC, , Cllr Shirley Evans (SE) KTC, CCC, Julia Dunlop (JD) KTC, Cllr Susanne Long (SL) KTC, SLDC (Part), Tom McCormick EA (TM), Cllr Nick Cotton (NC) CCC (Part), Craig Cowperthwaite (CC), Cllr Brenda Grey (BG) CCC.

In Attendance: Graham Harrison (GH) Researcher, Chris Bagshaw (CB) Kendal Town Clerk,

Apologies: Peter Thornton (PT) CCC, Cllr Graham Vincent (GV) KTC, Helen Moriarty (HM) Kendal Town Council Project Manager. Cllr Douglas Rathbone (DR) SLDC KTC, Cllr Chris Rowley (CR) KTC, Cllr Eamonn Hennessey (EH) KTC SLDC.

1. Welcome. JC.
2. Apologies. JB - As above
3. Declarations of Interest. – None
4. Minutes/Notes of Last Meeting dated 20/1/21. - Agreed. Meeting of 05/03/21 to discuss footpaths also circulated.
5. Matters Arising not dealt with elsewhere on the Agenda.

GH shared the maps of river corridor paths. JC talked through our preferred priority routes highlighted in yellow are shared use and green for footpaths and the EA's red line boundaries. The 3 maps highlighted the upper, middle and lower reaches of the town.

JC indicated his desire that soil and turf from the potential Jubilee Field workings could be used to help form the EA flood embankment on the UU side of the hedge.

The proposed Riverside pathway from Mint Bridge to Sandy Bottoms aspiration is 2.5m wide path. The pathway around the UU wildlife area aspiration is compacted and able to take a tarmac topping at a later stage. Beeson Field is worked up in the proposed plan. It is the access lane from Mintsfeet Road North to Sandy bottoms skirting the CCC yard and the bottom of the Jubilee field that we are hoping will be left in a condition ready to take a tarmac surface. (Possibly with the help of the UU). CC indicated that EA will work with CCC to clarify the detail of what will be provided by the EA.

The routes will be forwarded to the consultants WSP in the River Corridor Working Group as part of the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Project (LCWIP). This was agreed by the group.

CC spoke re Gooseholme footbridge aspiration is for delivery in 2021/22. This was confirmed by NC.

### 6. EA Update – Craig Cowperthwaite CC, Tom McCormick TM

TM provided updates and these are available via the Flood Hub. Cllr Long and a small number of other specific Councillors can have a walk through specific sections to better understand specific issues.

The Parish Halls section was highlighted. EA have designed out the steps. A footpath diversion is required simply to align with route. This is a real benefit. Have done something similar at Ford Park. This allows better access for public. Other points include a key sewer adjacent to the Parish Halls. A visualisation of the defended line adjacent to the Parish Church with the protection of an arch as a historic feature. The Webster railings were also highlighted along Aynam Road. A length of around 60m. Info to go back to Kendal Civic Society. EA have tried to engage with Kendal Civic Society.

JB asked if a list could be created to easily identify all the improvements that the scheme will create. TM agreed.

Q: CR had asked prior to the meeting about the source of the stone. TM - Stone will be coming from a local quarry at Orton, just outside the SL boundary. That quarry chosen because of sample colours and it being the nearest quarry for that type of stone that could also be cropped on site so that it can be used in the walls. There will be 3 colours of stone that match existing historic buildings in town. This method is easier quicker and cheaper for facing. The stone will not be travelling a long distance.

TM indicated that archaeological trial holes have also been undertaken along the river. The Parish Church area will be further investigated. There was a find at Waterside with bottles many intact.

Proposals for Mintsfeet were highlighted. Some realignment of the watercourses have been identified. A request has been made for a football pitch or a pump track. But the focus is for this to be a wildlife area.

Chantry Gardens design for the area is now being worked up. A couple of volunteers would be appreciated. SE volunteered and CB suggested members of the Kendal in Bloom committee. CB to arrange.

An achievements list and Benefits Prospectus will be produced. CB suggested a simple press release saying EA saves trees in an effort to highlight the positive work being done to further reduce the loss of trees. BG asked a question regarding a specific tree and TM will get the detail and follow this up offline.

## **Kendal Flood Relief Scheme Working Group (KTCFRSWG) Notes 17.03.21, Zoom meeting**

CC Glass update. Pace of glass panel sample installation is being determined by the design team working up plans including curvature along Aynam Road and coping stone and the frame design aspects. Other considerations include the planning amendment and the bedrock outcrop half way down Aynam Road together with Highways drainage design all need to come together ahead of the display of the glass. The current anticipated timeline is visualisation May-July to this group for approval in Aug. Want to present visualisation in situ with issues sorted 100% then present to public in Sept pre application process. Planning application in Oct. If all comes together, work in Aynam Road Spring 2022.

CC indicated that the action plan timeline to be circulated, including update programme for Phase 2 & 3. Do look at the Flood Hub, Key facts and What's happening.

Maria Ulyart (EA) is talking with communities and each reach and flood action groups.

7. KTC update covered above.

8. Glass Wall Specification and Requirements – covered above.

9. Upper Kent Issues:

JB asked CB if it was appropriate for KTC Councillors to get involved in upstream issues? CB responded that S137 of the Local Government Act that would allow us to get involved.

Written question from CR: The Flood and Coastal Innovation bid was submitted by CCC for the Upper Kent and we anticipate hearing if it was successful very soon. Since it was supported by letters from many in the local community including the NFU and, through this group, by KTC, could we have regular updates on it please? This needs to include an indication as to how it intends to finance the proposed work by Paul Quinn and others to demonstrate and monitor the impact of NFM+ in the valley. The support that they have from local farmers and landowners was contingent upon funding being included for that.

CC responded that the bid is to CCC and they could update KTC directly. Kentmere Parish Council Chair Peter Lansbury has sent up a Kentmere flood liaison Group. CB commented that NFM was mentioned by the Council Climate action group. NFM can be encouraged. Whatever they do wouldn't answer all of Kendal's problems.

10. Scheme Communication Plan and Actions

JC said that Westmorland FA and SLDC are trying to divert an unofficial route across a couple of pitches. 3m track around Jubilee all-weather track around the Field. JC supportive of these proposals and this would provide a significant community benefit.

11. AOB – None

12. Date of Next Meeting to be confirmed as likely to be a Weds in April. JB to arrange.

## KENDAL TOWN COUNCIL

Applications for Planning Committee: 6<sup>th</sup> April 2021

Appendix 1

No.	App No./ Type	Address/ Proposed Development	Comments To SLDC	Observations/ Recommendations
1	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0228</a>	<b>108 Low Garth, KENDAL</b> Single storey side extension & replacement porch	06.04.2021	
2	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0236</a>	<b>3 Wansfell Drive, KENDAL</b> Two side extension and raised rear garden	07.04.2021	
3	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0233</a>	<b>14 Collin Croft, KENDAL</b> Replacement double glazed hardwood front windows	08.04.2021	
4	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0241</a>	<b>Bracken Bank, Singleton Park Road, KENDAL</b> Single storey front extension (Resubmission SL/2020/0911)	13.04.2021	
5	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0244</a>	<b>Benton, Romney Road, KENDAL</b> Erection of a 1030mm high timber boundary fence to Romney Road & a 1800mm high timber boundary fence to Romney Avenue (Part Retrospective)	13.04.2021	
6	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0256</a>	<b>Kendal Leisure Centre, Burton Road, KENDAL</b> New modular building connected to mains power and drainage	16.04.2021	
7	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0258</a>	<b>Westmorland General Hospital, Burton Road, Kendal</b> 4-storey extension incorporating a two-story plant room	16.04.2021	
8	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0248</a>	<b>3 Hayfell Rise, KENDAL</b> Installation of external decking area with glazed balustrade (Resubmission of expired planning permission SL/2016/0668)	16.04.2021	

## KENDAL TOWN COUNCIL

Applications for Planning Committee: 6<sup>th</sup> April 2021

Appendix 1

9	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0269</a>	<b>21 Hawthorn Way, Kendal</b> Single storey rear extension	16.04.2021	
10	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0259</a>	<b>47 Rydal Road, KENDAL</b> Creation of parking space to the front garden and laying of a tarmacadam surface for access over the grass verge	19.04.2021	
11	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0274</a>	<b>21 Lumley Road, KENDAL</b> Detached garage	19.04.2021	
12	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0275</a>	<b>Allhallows Church House, Cliff Lane, KENDAL</b> Replacement double glazed windows to the east elevation	19.04.2021	
13	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0245</a>	<b>Riverside Place, Lound Road, KENDAL</b> Creation of 3 additional residential apartments and addition of solar panels	19.04.2021	
14	FPA <a href="#">SL/2021/0261</a>	<b>10 Ruskin Close, KENDAL</b> Erection of an end of terrace bungalow with joined porch structure	19.04.2021	