

KENDAL TOWN COUNCIL

Planning Committee

Monday 6th January 2020 at 6.30 p.m.
in the SLDC Chairman's Room the Town Hall, Kendal

PRESENT Councillors Douglas Rathbone (Chair & Deputy Mayor), Jonathan Cornthwaite (Vice Chair), Dave Miles, Michele Miles, Chris Rowley, Helen Ladhams, Pat Gibson & Graham Vincent

APOLOGIES None

OFFICERS Rose Tideswell (Temporary Council Secretary)

688/19/20 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Councillor S. Evans (CCC) made a verbal representation to the chair objecting to planning application number 1. Sainsbury's Supermarket Ltd, Mint Bridge, Shap Road, Kendal, regarding the resultant increased traffic through Kendal & that the reasoning for the original condition had not changed.

689/19/20 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None

690/19/20 MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON 16th December 2019

Members considered the minutes of the Committee meeting held on 16th December 2019.

Councillor H. Ladhams proposed that the minutes be accepted as a correct record. This was seconded by Councillor J. Cornthwaite and carried unanimously.

RESOLVED That the minutes of the meeting held on 16th December 2019 be accepted as a correct record, and signed by the Chair.

691/19/20 MATTERS ARISING NOT ON THE AGENDA

The Town Clerk did write to the SLDC planning committee to emphasise the need to get planning applications to KTC as soon as possible. SLDC have replied and they will endeavour to get the planning applications to KTC as soon as they can. The committee will keep a watching brief on the time lapse.

692/19/20 KENDAL TOWN COUNCIL FLOOD RELIEF SCHEME WORKING GROUP

No meetings have taken place since the last KTC Planning meeting, so nothing to report.

693/19/20 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

None

694/19/20 PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Members considered Planning Applications submitted for consultation purposes by the local planning authority, South Lakeland District Council.

RESOLVED

That having considered the applications outlined in the schedule, the recommendations in Appendix I attached to these minutes be made to South Lakeland District Council.

The meeting ended at 6.59 p.m.

Signed

Dated

Applications for Planning Committee: 6th January 2020

Appendix 1

No.	App No./ Type	Address/ Proposed Development	Comments To SLDC	Observations/ Recommendations
1	FPA 0969	Sainsbury's Supermarket Ltd, Mint Bridge, Shap Road, Kendal Removal of Condition 22 (Delivery hours) attached to planning permission SL/2013/1120 (Erection of foodstore (Use Class A1), petrol filling station and associated parking and servicing facilities)	04.01.2020	Objections See comments below
2	LISTED 0899	5 Stramongate, Kendal Installation of Sign	11.01.2020	No Objections
3	FPA 0981 & 0982	Gilling Reane Care Home, Gillinggate, Kendal Single storey laundry extension	16.01.2020	No Objections

Item 1) FPA [0969](#): - Sainsbury's Supermarket Ltd, Mint Bridge, Shap Road, Kendal

Removal of Condition 22 (Delivery hours) attached to planning permission SL/2013/1120 (Erection of food store (Use Class A1), petrol filling station and associated parking and servicing facilities)

Comments: -

The committee felt that there was insufficient information regarding increased traffic, in all directions through Kendal, because of lack of data on the number of expected deliveries if condition 22 was removed.

Therefore the committee cannot form a *positive* opinion on the overall effect on the neighbours occupying the roadside houses in Kendal, given that the original reason for the condition was "to protect the amenity of neighbouring occupiers" and this could be opening floodgates to remove that specific protection.

There is a bigger picture than just the effect within the Sainsbury's site boundaries and it has not been assured that this will not detrimentally affect residents. Questions were raised as to whether the applicant's positive noise report sufficiently included "movement of goods or roll cages" after delivery, as mentioned in the original condition & as to whether this request would result in the region of 2 or 200 additional loads a night.

An issue that local residents also have is about light pollution from the store & site boundaries - the lighting is out of keeping with current expectations on light pollution, which will be made worse by revoking this condition.

This objection is not categorical but based on the actual current application in front of the committee.